



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,016	02/15/2002	Scott Brad Herner	A5031/T43300	5510	
32588	7590 01/15/2003				
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			EXAMINER		
	BLVD. M/S 2061 RA, CA 95050		NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, THANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2813	/ -	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	\mathcal{Q}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application		_	es					
Examiner Thanh T. Riguyen		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Thanh T. Nguyen — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address— Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and provide the second of the period of the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and provided the second of the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and provided the second of the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and provided the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and provided the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and provided the period of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and provided the period of this communication, over if the period of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than this? (30) days, and the communication of this period of the period of this communication. A problem of the period of the period of the communication, over if the period of this communication. Status Status Status Status Status Status Status Status Application is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 10) The drawning(s) filed on 1-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 11) The proposed drawing corrected to 1	Office Action Summany							
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ebaselasio of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a rapity be limely filed Ethical part of the property of the provision of the provisions of 37 CR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a rapity be limely filed Ethical part of the provision of the provisions of 37 CR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a rapity be limely filed If the princid for reply specified above is least than thirty (20) days, a may within the shallony minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered filed. If the princid for reply specified above is least than thirty (20) days, a may will be above the interpretation of the principal of the prin	Office Action Summary							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALINO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Learnature of time many be available under the provisions of 37 °CF 1.135(s), in no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled. Lift be parted for many packed above, the maximum statutory parted will apply und valid again SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication of thiny (30) stays will be considered timely. Lift be parted for many is specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and valid again SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office little than three months after the malling date of this communication, went ill involvy filled, may reduce any search patent term silipariment. See 37 °CFR 1.704(s). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 15 February 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 °C.D. 11, 453 °O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13 particular proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 14 particular proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 15 particular proposed drawings are proposed from the pri	The MAILING DATE of this communication and							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time map be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 113(6). In an event, however, may a reply be timely (field after SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure of reply signification the mailing date of this communication. Fill Depends of reply is specified above, the maximum adatory printed will apply and will expire \$X(6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by station; cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office identification shall be maining date of this communication, even it limely filled, may reduce any Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 15 February 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 5] Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7] Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8] Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4Application Papers Prior the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawings or required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13 proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 14 proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 15 proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 16 priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11		ears on the cover sir et with the	correspondence address					
2a This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicantinary not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F	ebruary 2002 .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 16 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	1.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Aktachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	r election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·· _							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	· <u>-</u>							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,	aminer.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	,,						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informat	• •					

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath/declaration filed on 2/15/02 is acceptable.

Drawings

The drawings filed on 7/1/02 are acceptable.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 2/15/02 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cho (U.S. Patent No. 6,287,964).

Art Unit: 2813

Teaches a method for the formation of a refractory metal nucleation layer on semiconductor device substrate, the method comprising:

Depositing a metallic barrier layer (Ti/TiN, 14, see col. 4, lines 10-14) on the semiconductor device substrate (11),

Exposing the metallic barrier layer (14) to silicon containing gas (SiH₄, see figure 2C, col. 4, lines 26-41) to form a layer of silicon (15) on the metallic barrier layer (14),

Exposing the layer of silicon (15) to a refractory metal containing gas (WF₆, see figure 2D, col. 4, lines 42-50) such that the refractory metal containing gas undergoes a reduction reaction with the layer of silicon resulting in the formation of a refractory metal layer (W, 16) on the metallic barrier layer (14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho (U.S. Patent No. 6,287,964) in view of Takagi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,107,200), and further in view of Chung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6498,399).

Art Unit: 2813

Teaches a method for the formation of a refractory metal nucleation layer on semiconductor device substrate, the method comprising:

Depositing a metallic barrier layer (Ti/TiN, 14, see col. 4, lines 10-14) on the semiconductor device substrate (11),

Exposing the metallic barrier layer (14) to silicon containing gas (SiH₄, see figure 2C, col. 4, lines 26-41) to form a layer of silicon (15) on the metallic barrier layer (14),

Exposing the layer of silicon (15) to a refractory metal containing gas (WF₆, see figure 2D, col. 4, lines 42-50) such that the refractory metal containing gas undergoes a reduction reaction with the layer of silicon resulting in the formation of a refractory metal layer (W, 16) on the metallic barrier layer (14), and

Depositing a tungsten core layer on the tungsten layer using tungsten CVD reaction wherein WF₆ is reduced with H_2 (see figure 2F, col. 5, lines 1-8).

It would be obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to form a plurality of tungsten layers and silicon layers alternatively with the same process as using in the first tungsten layer and the first silicon layer since it is well known in the art to repeat the same process for multiple effects.

See St. Regis paper, Co. V. Bemis Co. Inc. 193 USPQ 8, 11 (7th circuit 1977).

However, Cho et al. does not teach a method of forming a barrier layer by using tantalum nitride, the pressure range, and the thickness range of forming a layer.

Takagi et al. teaches forming a TiN layer with the thickness of about 400A° (see col. 9, lines 37-47, figure 3C), forming tungsten layer with thickness of 300 and the pressure of about 3 Torr (see col. 9, lines 48-60, figure 3d).

Art Unit: 2813

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would form a TiN layer with the thickness of about 400A°, and forming tungsten layer with thickness of 300 and the pressure of about 3 Torr in process of Cho et al. as taught by Takagi et al. because the process would provide a small resistance of the tungsten wiring layer, the contact resistance can be lowered and stable wiring width, an also improve the processing speed of the semiconductor device.

The thickness range and the pressure range are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As noted in In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955), the selection of reaction parameters such as temperature and concentration would have been obvious:

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art...such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality.... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPO 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPO 372 (CCPA

1942); In re Sola 25 USPO 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPO 52 (CCPA 1934).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time the invention was made would have used any thickness range and pressure range suitable to the method in process of Cho et al. in order to optimize the process.

Chung et al. teaches forming a barrier layer TaN or TiN in the opening (see figure 1, col. 10, lines 60-65), and forming a tungsten metal layer on the TaN layer (see col. 10, lines 52-55) to fill the opening.

Art Unit: 2813

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would form a TaN barrier in the opening in process of Cho et al. as taught by Chung et al. because the process would prevent diffusion of the conductive metal into the dielectric layer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-9439, or by Email via address Thanh Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on (703) 308-4940. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See MPEP 203.08).

Thanh Nguyen
Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800